

**The structure of verse 4** signals it is \_\_\_\_\_ information, not part of the plot development. Thus we know the Nephilim are a separate question, not part of the explanation of God's anger, and thus not necessarily related to the question about the sons of God and daughters of man.

**Theory 1: The sons of God are \_\_\_\_\_ and the daughters of men are human.**

Sons of God sometimes refers to \_\_\_\_\_ angels, such as in Job 2.1 and Psalm 29.1; but not demons [fallen angels].

Sons of God sometimes refers to God's \_\_\_\_\_, such as in Matthew 5.9 and Romans 8.14.

2 Peter 2 and Jude 1.6-7 seem to connect \_\_\_\_\_ sin, demons, and the judgment at the flood of Noah.

The context in Genesis is of \_\_\_\_\_ becoming evil and thus being judged in the flood, not demons.

**Theory 2: The sons of God are \_\_\_\_\_ descendants and the daughters of men are Cain's [or at least pagan].**

If the sons of a \_\_\_\_\_ family married pagan daughters who led them astray, that would result in very few righteous people, as we see in this passage.

אָדָם ["man" or "mankind"] refers to \_\_\_\_\_ people in 6.3, 5-7; presumably it refers to the same in 6.1-2, 4 as well.

**Theory 3: The sons of God are a dynasty of \_\_\_\_\_, possibly from the family of Cain/Lamech, and the daughters of men are from Seth's family.**

**The structure of verse 4** signals it is \_\_\_\_\_ information, not part of the plot development. Thus we know the Nephilim are a separate question, not part of the explanation of God's anger, and thus not necessarily related to the question about the sons of God and daughters of man.

**Theory 1: The sons of God are \_\_\_\_\_ and the daughters of men are human.**

Sons of God sometimes refers to \_\_\_\_\_ angels, such as in Job 2.1 and Psalm 29.1; but not demons [fallen angels].

Sons of God sometimes refers to God's \_\_\_\_\_, such as in Matthew 5.9 and Romans 8.14.

2 Peter 2 and Jude 1.6-7 seem to connect \_\_\_\_\_ sin, demons, and the judgment at the flood of Noah.

The context in Genesis is of \_\_\_\_\_ becoming evil and thus being judged in the flood, not demons.

**Theory 2: The sons of God are \_\_\_\_\_ descendants and the daughters of men are Cain's [or at least pagan].**

If the sons of a \_\_\_\_\_ family married pagan daughters who led them astray, that would result in very few righteous people, as we see in this passage.

אָדָם ["man" or "mankind"] refers to \_\_\_\_\_ people in 6.3, 5-7; presumably it refers to the same in 6.1-2, 4 as well.

**Theory 3: The sons of God are a dynasty of \_\_\_\_\_, possibly from the family of Cain/Lamech, and the daughters of men are from Seth's family.**

Why would \_\_\_\_\_ men be called sons of God? The idea of this theory is they were evil enough to form harems, perverting righteousness and justice.

Genesis 4-5 does stress daughters being born to \_\_\_\_\_ family, not Cain's, but we surmise there were daughters in both and in the families of Cain and Seth's other siblings too.

**Theory 4: The sons of God are political leaders \_\_\_\_\_ by demons and the daughters of men are human.**

People would be susceptible to \_\_\_\_\_ influence if they were walking apart from God. Then they would behave even worse, which is what we see in our passage.

None of these theories explains well why this terminology is used or why what was happening then made God so much more angry at people than before or since.

**Big Picture:** \_\_\_\_\_ will come for life apart from God, walking on the "bottom line," doing our own things in our own ways independent of God's revelation.

**Nephilim** might mean mighty men who \_\_\_\_\_ or fell upon others in war, based on the verb with the same root.

Nephilim is translated as \_\_\_\_\_ or "mighty ones" in the Greek translation of the Old Testament [the Septuagint].

**Conclusion:** People had become almost \_\_\_\_\_ sinful, evil. That's the result we expect when people do not trust and obey God's revelation.

The original audience believed another time of judgment would come, and so do we. Let's allow this text to provoke us to intentional effort to choose God and his ways!

Why would \_\_\_\_\_ men be called sons of God? The idea of this theory is they were evil enough to form harems, perverting righteousness and justice.

Genesis 4-5 does stress daughters being born to \_\_\_\_\_ family, not Cain's, but we surmise there were daughters in both and in the families of Cain and Seth's other siblings too.

**Theory 4: The sons of God are political leaders \_\_\_\_\_ by demons and the daughters of men are human.**

People would be susceptible to \_\_\_\_\_ influence if they were walking apart from God. Then they would behave even worse, which is what we see in our passage.

None of these theories explains well why this terminology is used or why what was happening then made God so much more angry at people than before or since.

**Big Picture:** \_\_\_\_\_ will come for life apart from God, walking on the "bottom line," doing our own things in our own ways independent of God's revelation.

**Nephilim** might mean mighty men who \_\_\_\_\_ or fell upon others in war, based on the verb with the same root.

Nephilim is translated as \_\_\_\_\_ or "mighty ones" in the Greek translation of the Old Testament [the Septuagint].

**Conclusion:** People had become almost \_\_\_\_\_ sinful, evil. That's the result we expect when people do not trust and obey God's revelation.

The original audience believed another time of judgment would come, and so do we. Let's allow this text to provoke us to intentional effort to choose God and his ways!